



119

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12859-2026

Date of decision: 28.04.2026

Amit Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Warehousing Corporation (PSWC) and another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**Present:** Mr. J.P. Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.Mr. Ritish Watts, Advocate for
Mr. Manbir Singh Batth, Advocate
for the respondents.**HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)**

1. The present civil writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing respondent No.1 i.e. Punishing Authority to stop the recovery @ 60% per month from the salary of the petitioner till the pendency of the Statutory Review Petition dated 13.04.2026 (Annexure P-3) filed by the petitioner against order dated 24.03.2026 (Annexure P-2) passed by the appellate authority i.e. respondent No.2.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that a charge sheet was issued to the petitioner on 21.03.2024 which culminated into passing of the order dated 31.01.2025 (Annexure P-1) by the punishing authority.

The petitioner filed a statutory appeal which was dismissed by the Appellate

**CWP-12859-2026****-2-**

Authority on 24.03.2026 (Annexure P-2). The petitioner has filed a Statutory Review Petition on 13.04.2026 (Annexure P-3). The Corporation during the pendency of the aforesaid review petition, has started making recoveries from the salary of the petitioner in terms of the order passed by the Punishing Authority. Further, continuing recovery during the pendency of the review petition would cause severe financial hardship to the petitioner.

2.2 As such, at this stage, he would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the statutory review petition dated 13.04.2026 (Annexure P-3) by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner. He further prays that till final disposal of the aforesaid statutory review petition, recovery from the salary of the petitioner may be stayed.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Ritish Watts, Advocate for Mr. M.S. Batth, Advocate puts in appearance and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and files his memorandum of appearance in the Court today which is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place in the file. He submits that he has no objection in case a direction is issued to the respondent to hear and decide the statutory review petition dated 13.04.2026 (Annexure P-3) in a time-bound manner.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of and the respondents are directed to hear and decide the statutory review petition dated 13.04.2026 (Annexure P-3) in a time bound manner and

pass a speaking order, within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. Since the statutory review petition is still pending and yet to be decided, it would be in the interest of justice that no further recovery will be effected from the petitioner till the disposal of the statutory review petition.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

28.04.2026
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No