



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

215

**CRM-M-23300-2026
Date of Decision:-20.05.2026**

BHUPINDER SINGH ALIAS BHINDA **.....PETITIONER**

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB **.....RESPONDENT**

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Akashdeep Miglani, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Toor, AAG Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)

The prayer in the present petition under Section 482 BNSS is for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.56 dated 23.03.2026 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 15/61/85 of NDPS Act (Section 16 and 29 NDPS Act added later on) at Police Station Dehlon, District Ludhiana.

2. The brief facts of the case are that one Nahar Singh was apprehended with 3 kgs of poppy husk. During police remand, he disclosed that he had procured the contraband from Bhupinder Singh @ Bhinda (petitioner) and disclosed that the petitioner had cultivated poppy plants behind his residential house.

3. Pursuant to the disclosure statement and demarcation made by Nahar Singh, 58 poppy plants weighing approximately 1 kg and 600 grams were recovered from the fields situated behind the house of the

petitioner.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. There is no evidence that the poppy plants grown behind the house of the petitioner has actually been cultivated by the petitioner. Be that as it may, only 58 poppy plants weighing approximately 1 kg 600 grams have been recovered, which is non-commercial quantity. Therefore, the petitioner is entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail.

4. On the other hand, the learned State counsel has filed a reply dated 19.05.2026, which is taken on record. While referring to the said reply, he contends that Nahar Singh was apprehended with 3 kgs of poppy husk and disclosed the name of the present petitioner. He has specifically stated that the petitioner had sold the poppy to Nahar Singh and it has been found that the petitioner was cultivating poppy plants behind his own house. The recovery of 1 kg and 600 grams has been effected from the backside of the fields of the house of the petitioner. *Prima facie*, the offence stands established. Therefore, the petitioner is not entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail.

5. I have heard learned counsels for the parties.

6. As per the case of the prosecution, Nahar Singh was arrested and the recovery of 3 kgs of poppy husk came to be effected from him. He has disclosed the name of the petitioner as a supplier. The recovery of 58 grams of poppy plants weighing approximately 1 kg and 600 grams has been effected from behind the house of the petitioner. It is the case of the prosecution that the poppy plants have been cultivated by the petitioner. As the offence is *prima facie* established and the

investigation is to be taken up to its logical conclusion, the custodial interrogation is certainly required.

7. Therefore, I find no merit in the present petition and the same stands dismissed.

8. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

20.05.2026

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)

JUDGE

Kusum

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>