

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026.PHHC.080197



(128)

CRM-M-24492-2026

Decided on : 21.05.2026

Date of uploading: 21.05.2026

Baljinder Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present:- Mr. K.S. Hissowal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Adhiraj Singh, AAG, Punjab.

SUMEET GOEL, JUDGE (Oral)

1. The petition in hand has been preferred under Section 528 of BNSS with the following substantive prayer(s):-

“It is, therefore, respectfully prayed that this petition may kindly be allowed and directions be issued to official respondents, especially respondent no.2 to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 25.02.2026 (Annexure P-3) vide which the petitioner has made the request to action as per law against the private respondents who collectively made a deadly attack on the petitioner and caused grievous injuries on the head and hand of the petitioner. Even though the incident took place many days back on 14.01.2026, but still the police has not taken any action and the private respondents are generally interfering into the life of the petitioner and making threats that in case the petitioner will not withdraw his complaint and he will move any kind of case in the court, then he will not be spared at any cost. The police has been informed every fact but despite that the police is silent.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, after arguing for sometime, has limited his prayer by stating that he would be satisfied, for the *nonce*, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 (Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur) to consider and take a conscious decision on the representation dated

25.02.2026 made by the petitioner (copy whereof has been annexed as Annexure P-3 with the petition in hand) in a time-bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the strength of advance copy; Mr. Adhiraj Singh, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3. He has urged that he has no objection in case limited prayer made by the petitioner, for decision of his representation dated 25.02.2026 (Annexure P-3), is directed for.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the rival party(s) and upon perusal of the paper-book, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the petition in hand with the following directions:

- (i) Respondent No.2 (Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur) is mandated to look into the representation (Annexure P-3) moved by the petitioner, objectively consider the grievance(s) raised therein & take ratiocinated decision thereupon within a period of 30 days from today. Said decision be conveyed to the petitioner within 7 days thereafter
- (ii) No order as to costs.

21.05.2026
Naveen

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No