



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-12893-2026

Date of decision: 28.04.2026

Vaibhav Karnani and another

....Petitioners

Versus

Maharshi Dayanand University (M.D.U.), Rohtak and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Saurabh Dalal, Advocate,
for the petitioners
(Through Video Conferencing).

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. The lackadaisical and indolent approach of the authorities concerned in not deciding the representation dated 03.03.2026 (Annexure P-4), has propelled the petitioners to approach this Court through the instant writ petition, as cast under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of a Mandamus upon the respondent-University.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners asserts that the petitioners have submitted a representation dated 03.03.2026 (Annexure P-4), as regards their concerns/grievances, but no action has been taken thereon, till date. He fairly submits that the petitioners, at this stage, would be satisfied in case, a direction is passed upon the respondent-University, to decide the representation (supra), in a time bound manner.

3. Since an *innocuous* and a *bona fide* prayer has been made on behalf of the petitioners, the instant writ petition is **disposed of**, by passing a Mandamus upon respondent No.1, to consider and take a final



decision on the representation (supra), by passing a speaking order, within six weeks from the receipt of a certified copy of this order. However, in the event of default in compliance of the hereinabove direction, the petitioners are at liberty to move an apt application/motion for revival of the instant petition.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

28.04.2026
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No