



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-12853-2026(O&M)  
Date of decision: 19.05.2026**

Monika Bansal and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present: Mr. Garvit Mittal, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Akshit Pathania, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)**

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking setting aside of orders dated 20.03.2026 (Annexure P-13) and 25.03.2026 (Annexure P-14), whereby respondents No.4-District Registrar, Firms & Societies, Sonapat has appointed respondent No.6 as Administrator.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners, at the outset, submits that grievance of the petitioner stands redressed, thus, petition has rendered infructuous. He however submits that election process may be resumed.

3. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the petition stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. The petitioner is at liberty to approach respondent No.4 qua his grievance.

4. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

**( JAGMOHAN BANSAL )  
JUDGE**

**May 19, 2026**

Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:  
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No  
Yes/No