



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-4826-2026

Date of decision : 24.04.2026

Saniya and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Gurpreet Singh, Advocate for
Ms. Jonti Phogat, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for directing official respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of private respondents No.4 to 6 and other annoyed relatives of petitioner No.1 as they are harassing, interfering in the life of the petitioners and became annoyed as petitioner No.1 wants to marry petitioner No.2 as and when he will attain the marriageable age.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that petitioner No.1 is major, however, petitioner No.2, is not of marriageable age and they are living in live-in-relationship against the wishes of private respondent and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 6. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 23.04.2026 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Panipat, District Panipat, Haryana.



3. Notice of motion to respondents no.1 to 3-State only.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State.
5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Panipat, District Panipat, Haryana to decide the representation dated 23.04.2026 (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of their live-in relationship.
6. Disposed of.

24.04.2026
m. sharma

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No