



CRWP-4814-2026

1

120

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRWP-4814-2026****Date of Decision: 27.04.2026****PRINCE AND ANR****...PETITIONERS****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****....RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present: Mr. Vikram K.Bishnoi, Advocate for the petitioners.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance appropriate directions to official respondents No. 2 and 3 to take action on the representation dated 23.04.2026 (Annexure P-5) for protecting the life and personal liberty of the petitioners and also directing private respondents not to interfere in the personal lives and liberty of the petitioners and further directing respondents Nos. 2 and 3 not to allow/let private respondents or anybody else to interfere with the liberty and lives of the petitioners to harass them in any manner against the petitions or to cause any detriment to the petitioners in any manner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 has been in a *live in* relationship with petitioner No.2. The private respondents have been extending threats of dire consequences to the petitioners as they are averse to their *live in* relationship. While drawing the attention of this Court to 23.04.2026 (Annexure P-5), learned counsel has submitted that the matter was reported to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, District Fazilka seeking police help but in vain. Learned counsel submits that the



CRWP-4814-2026

2

petitioners would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, District Fazilka to look into the aforesaid representation and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

4. At the asking of the Court, Mr. P.S.Pandher, Asst. A.G.Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without commenting upon the status of *live in* relationship of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, District Fazilka to look into the aforesaid representation qua the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondent. However, this direction will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

6. It is made clear that there is no adjudication on merits and this is not a blanket order of protection from arrest in any FIR. It is further made clear that this order shall not come in the way if the interrogation of the petitioners is required in any cognizable case.

27.04.2026

renu

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No