



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(125)

CR No. 3568 of 2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 28.04.2026

Meenakshi Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

Ravi Bahri

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Arpan Sabharwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Amarinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

1. The present civil revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting-aside the impugned order dated 25.03.2026 (Annexure P-5) passed by learned Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Ludhiana, whereby the evidence of the petitioner/defendant was closed by Court order.

2. Briefly stated, respondent-plaintiff Ravi Bahri had filed a civil suit for possession and specific performance as owner by way of specific performance of the agreement to sell on dated 23.09.2016 regarding suit property and also sought the relief of permanent injunction restraining the petitioner-defendant from selling/mortgaging or getting, creating third party interest by means of mortgage/guarantee in the property in dispute. Notice of the suit was issued to the petitioner-defendant, who appeared through his counsel and filed the written statement. On the basis of the pleadings, issues



were framed, the respondent-plaintiff led his evidence in support of his case.

Thereafter, the case was fixed for evidence of the petitioner-defendant.

3. As many as 32 opportunities were granted to the petitioner-defendant to lead her evidence but the petitioner had failed to do so. Ultimately, vide order dated 25.03.2026 (Annexure P-5), the learned Trial Court closed the evidence of the petitioner-defendant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner could not appear before the learned trial Court on account of an accident in which she sustained an injury to her right knee. He further draws the attention of this Court to the medical certificate issued by Hunjan Hospital, Ludhiana, which specifically records that the petitioner suffered a right knee injury and was advised strict bed rest. It is further submitted that the petitioner has a valuable interest involved in the lis and, therefore, prays that one effective opportunity be granted to enable her to conclude her entire evidence.

5. In view of the order proposed to be passed, notice is not being issued to the respondent as it would unnecessarily delay the proceedings and also entail additional expenses for the respondents.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the record.

7. Having considered the submissions and the material on record, this Court is of the view that the petitioner should be given one more opportunity to conclude her entire evidence for the proper and effective adjudication of the case.



8. Consequently, the present petition is allowed. The impugned order dated 25.03.2026 (Annexure P-5) passed by the learned Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Ludhiana is hereby set aside subject to the petitioner depositing costs of ₹10,000/- with the District Legal Services Authority, Ludhiana.

9. The petitioner-defendant shall appear before the learned trial Court on the date fixed and thereafter, trial Court shall grant one effective opportunity to the petitioner to conclude her entire evidence.

10. The Registry is directed to forward a copy of this order to learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Ludhiana, for compliance.

11. All pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly.

April 28, 2026
kanchan

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No