

2026.PHHC:054671



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.102

CWP-12039-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 09.04.2026

PGI Employees Union (Non-Faculty), Chandigarh Petitioner

VERSUS

The Chairman, National Commission for Scheduled Castes and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA

Present: Mr. Karan Singla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. S.P Jain, Addl. Solicitor General of India with
Ms. Gurmeet Kaur Gill, Advocate
for respondent No.1-UOI.

Ms. Saigeeta Srivastava, Advocate
for respondents No.2 & 3.

Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.4.

Mr. Jagmohan Singh Bhatti, Advocate
for applicant-proposed respondent No.5.

DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA, J. (Oral)

1. In the present writ petition, the petitioner has challenged the letter dated 10.01.2023 (Annexure P-2), whereby, respondent No.1 has made recommendations not to make any promotion till the reservation roster is re-constituted/verified.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the only grievance of the petitioner is that the National Commission for Scheduled Castes could not have given direction to the respondents not to

make any promotion till the reservation roster is re-constituted/verified. He

submits that the Commission is only a recommending body and, as such, no direction could have been given.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.4-institute submits that promotions are being made in respondent No.4-institute by providing reservation on the basis of roster point.

4. In view of the above statement given by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.4-institute, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not want to press the present petition and as such, the same may be disposed of.

5. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of as not pressed.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

CM-16301-CWP-2025

In light of the order passed today in the main case, learned counsel for the applicant, does want to press the present application.

In view of the above, the present application is dismissed as not pressed.

(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)
JUDGE

09.04.2026
Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No