

2026:PHHC:038994



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-26689-202024

Date of decision :12.03.2026

OM PARKASH & OTHERS

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Neeraj Goel, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Attar Ahmed, AAG, Punjab.

(THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING)

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.48 dated 04.04.2024 registered under Sections 288 and 304-A IPC at Police Station DLF-II, Gurugram along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the parties.

2. On 11.03.2026, the following order was passed:-

“A written request for adjournment has been circulated on behalf of the counsel for the petitioner on the ground that he is in some personal difficulty.

A reply dated 06.03.2026 filed on behalf of the respondent-State is taken on record.

*As the FIR has been registered under Section 304A IPC, the question of quashing of the same does not arise in view of the judgment in **Baldev Singh Versus State of Punjab & another, 2016(3) Law Herald 2020.***

Adjourned to 12.03.2026.

To be shown in the urgent list.”

3. Apparently, the FIR which is being sought to be quashed has been registered under Section 304A IPC. This Court in **Baldev Singh** (supra) has held that such an FIR cannot quashed on the basis of a compromise.

4. In view of the above, I find no merit in the present petition. Therefore, the same stands dismissed.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

12.03.2026
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No