



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

110

CWP-12805-2026

Date of decision : 28.04.2026

Ashu Bala and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Parvesh K. Saini, Advocate with
Mr. Ashutosh Bhardwaj and Ms. Akshita Nanda,
Advocates for the petitioners.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioners under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus, directing the respondents to grant the petitioners statutory pay scale as mandated in the 2018 Rules viz. 10300-34800 + 5000 Grade Pay from entry into service along with arrears and interest @ 12% per annum in view of the judgment passed by this Court in ***CWP No.15896 of 2023 titled as 'Saurabh Sharma and others Vs. State of Punjab and another' decided on 13.09.2024*** (Annexure P-26) which was upheld upto the Hon'ble Supreme Court and also keeping in view the order dated 14.10.2024 (Annexure P-25) which was passed in the case of Master/Mistress working in the respondent-Education Department. Further, to take into account the abovesaid statutory pay scale for further pay revisions. Further seeking issuance of a writ of certiorari for quashing the instructions dated 17.07.2020 & 21.10.2020 (Annexures P-5 & P-7, respectively) and impugned order dated 31.03.2022 (Annexure P-15) to the extent it places the petitioners in the wrong pay scale of Rs.35400/- (consolidated).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that for redressal of their grievances, the petitioners have also submitted representation dated 16.02.2026 (Annexure P-33) to the respondents which is still pending consideration. He further submits that at this

**CWP-12805-2026****-2-**

stage, the petitioners would be satisfied, if the petitioners may be given liberty to supplement the abovesaid representation and appropriate directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide the said representation, by passing a speaking order, in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Surya Kumar, A.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 & 5-State. Mr. A.D.S. Jattana, Advocate has put in appearance and accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.4. They have no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.

6. Without going into the merits of the case at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a liberty to the petitioners to supplement the representation dated 16.02.2026 (Annexure P-33) within a period of two weeks from today and respondent No.4 is directed to consider and decide the said representation expeditiously, by passing a speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, preferably within a period of 04 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereon shall be conveyed to the petitioners.

28.04.2026*kothiyal***(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No