



CRM-M-23682-2026(O&M)

-1-

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

210

CRM-M-23682-2026(O&M)

Date of Decision: 04.05.2026

AKASH @ AKKI

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. Harsh Neyol, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kshitij Bharti, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioner seeks regular bail case arising out of FIR No. 278 dated 11.08.2025 under Sections 80(2) Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, Police Station Sector-65, Gurugram, District Gurugram. This is the first petition for regular bail.

2. Case was registered on complaint of Ajay son of Mahender, who reported that his sister was married with Akash, who always tortured her physically and mentally, beat her on small matters, demanded car from her and taunted her regarding dowry given by her father. He did not even bring Kajal to their home and he himself went to fetch her and drop her back. Ajay threatened his sister with illegal weapons. There was a case registered against him for keeping illegal weapons. They had married Kajal as per Hindu rites and ceremonies and had given dowry as per their capacity. Akash had illicit relations with girls, on which account, he tortured his sister to leave him. The day before, his sister called him crying that Akash was repeatedly threatening to



kill her. On 10.08.2025 at about 12.56, another call was received at 1:02. His sister informed him that she was beaten up. On 10.08.2025, when they reached the house of his sister at village Ullawas, they found her body lying on the floor. When he tried to enter into the house, he was given beatings by the in-laws. There were signs of physical assault on the body of his sister.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that allegations of demand of dowry were vague, without any specific date, time or place. Petitioner was in custody for the last about 8 months. Challan had been presented and main witnesses stood examined. The allegations in the FIR regarding injury marks on the dead body were belied by the post mortem report, which did not show any external injury except the ligature mark. It was urged that two sisters of the complainant were married in the same house and car had already been given. The other sister was not even cited as a witness. Minor daughter of the deceased was in the care and custody of family of the petitioner. Relying upon *Sher Singh @ Partapa Vs. State of Haryana 2015(2) SCC (Cri) 422*, it was argued that no offence under Section 304-B IPC was made out as the death had no link with any demand of dowry raised soon before death.

4. Learned State counsel has placed on record custody certificate and opposed the prayer for regular bail on the ground of serious nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner.

5. Petitioner is the main accused-husband of the deceased, who is alleged to have caused her dowry death within 05 years of marriage. Considering the nature and gravity of the charge against the petitioner, the *prima facie* evidence against him, the severity of punishment in the event of



conviction, it is not a fit case to extend the benefit of regular bail, despite the period of incarceration undergone by petitioner.

- 6. The petition being bereft of any merit, is hereby dismissed.
- 7. Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed as an opinion on merits of the case.
- 8. Pending CRM(s), if any, are also disposed of accordingly.

May 04, 2026
Ajay Goswami

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No