



CWP-12803-2026

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

128

CWP-12803-2026

Date of Decision: 28.04.2026

Amrik Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Sahil Matharoo, Advocate for the petitioners and
Mr. Satinder Duggal, petitioner No.2 in person
(*through video conferencing*)

Mr. Deepak Vashisth, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to officials respondents to decide their representations dated 26.12.2025 and 15.03.2026 and to constitute Special Investigation Team ('SIT') to conduct investigation and forensic audit into the entire gamut of corruption, fabrication of record, destruction/manipulation of digital evidence by officials of office of District Registrar of Firms & Societies.

2. The petitioners claim that they are public spirited persons. Rajiv Gupta, official of respondent No.3 was caught red handed while accepting bribe. Sachin Kumar Yadav, District Registrar was suspended on 01.02.2026. Legal Assistant Himanshu Mor was removed from services on 05.02.2026. He was removed due to corruption, fabrication of record, fuzzing of challans of the Government Treasury. The petitioners have filed representations seeking investigation by a SIT in a time bound manner.

3. Petitioner No.2 who is present in person submits that petitioners have submitted multiple documents showing that officials working in the office of District Registrar, Firms & Societies have manipulated challans showing payment of charges by Societies. The challans of high value are substituted by low value challans entailing loss to exchequer.
4. Mr. Deepak Vashisth, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, who on advance notice is present in Court on behalf of respondent-State assures the Court that competent authority would look into the matter, if substance is found in petitioners' claim.
5. In the wake of statement of learned State counsel, the petition stands disposed of.
6. It is made clear that nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed as expression of opinion of this Court on merits of the case.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

28.04.2026
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No