



CRWP-4776-2026

1

117

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRWP-4776-2026****Date of Decision: 27.04.2026****VEENA RANI AND ANR****...PETITIONERS****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****....RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present: Ms. Mehak Sharma, Advocate and
Mr. Sharwan Sehgal, Advocate for the petitioners.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance appropriate directions to official respondents to protect the life and personal liberty of the petitioners by providing police protection to the petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 5 to 10 and further directing the official respondents No. 2 to 4 not to interfere and not to let respondents No. 5 to 10 or anybody else to interfere with the peaceful life of the petitioners of harass them in any manner against their wishes and to their detriment by using force on them or by adopting unlawful means.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 has been in a *live in* relationship with petitioner No.2. The private respondents have has been extending threats of dire consequences to the petitioners as they are averse to their *live in* relationship. While drawing the attention of this Court to Annexure P-3 dated 20.04.2026, learned counsel has submitted that the matter was reported to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Ludhiana Rural, Jagraon seeking police help but in vain. Learned counsel submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if directions are issued to



CRWP-4776-2026

2

respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Ludhiana Rural, Jagraon to look into the aforesaid representation and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

- 3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 4 only.
- 4. At the asking of the Court, Mr. P.S.Pandher, Asst. A.G.Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 4.
- 5. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without commenting upon the status of *live in* relationship of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Ludhiana Rural, Jagraon to look into the aforesaid representation qua the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondent. However, this direction will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.
- 6. It is made clear that there is no adjudication on merits and this is not a blanket order of protection from arrest in any FIR. It is further made clear that this order shall not come in the way if the interrogation of the petitioners is required in any cognizable case.

27.04.2026
renu

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No