

2026:PHHC:024652



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

212

IOIN-1-FAO-1513-2006 in/and
FAO-1513-2006 (O&M)
Date of decision: 17.02.2026

Gurjeet Singh

...Appellant

Versus

Gurpreet Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Gopal Mittal, Advocate for respondent No.3.

VIKAS SURI, J.(Oral)

1. The case file has been reconstructed based on the remains recovered from the burnt records.
2. The present appeal pertains to the year 2006. The following order was passed on 31.07.2025:

“Office report perused.

No one has caused appearance on behalf of parties concerned, despite the counsels being informed through e-mail.

Respondents no.1 and 2 have also not been served till date for want of correct address.

Therefore, upon taking corrective measures by the appellant to serve respondents no.1 and 2, within 15 days from today, let fresh notice be issued to them by the Registry of this



IOIN-1-FAO-1513-2006 in/and
FAO-1513-2006 (O&M)

-2-

Court, returnable on 10.09.2025.

The appellant is at liberty to serve the aforesaid respondents through the counsel appearing, before the tribunal concerned.

The Registry is directed to inform learned counsel for the appellant about the aforesaid order.”

3. In sequel to the aforesaid, the hereinbelow reproduced order was passed on 23.12.2025:

“Learned counsel appearing for the appellant prays for one last opportunity for taking necessary steps in deference to order dated 31.07.2025.

On doing so, let fresh notices be issued to respondent Nos.1 and 2, returnable for 17.02.2026.

The appellant is at liberty to serve the aforesaid respondents through the counsel representing them before the Tribunal concerned.

Process *dasti* as well.”

4. Today, the case has been called out twice, but no one has appeared for the appellant.

5. In view of the aforesaid, it would be safe for this Court to infer that the appellant is no longer interested to pursue the present appeal.

6. With the consent of learned counsel for respondent No.3-insurance company, the main case is taken on Board. Under the



**IOIN-1-FAO-1513-2006 in/and
FAO-1513-2006 (O&M)** -3-

circumstances, the IOIN stands disposed of and the main case is dismissed for want of prosecution.

7. However, liberty is reserved to the appellant to seek revival of the appeal, in case any grievance still survives.

February 17, 2026
sumit.k

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes / No
Whether Reportable :	Yes / No