



CRM-M-23429-2026

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

208

CRM-M-23429-2026

Date of decision : 01.05.2026

DEEPAK KUMAR

... PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.. RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. N.K. Ganga, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vijay Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)

1. This petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking regular bail under Section 483 BNSS, 2023(erstwhile Section 439 Cr.P.C.), in case FIR No.745 dated 30.11.2025, registered under Sections 318(4), 336(3), 338, 340 of BNS, 2023 (erstwhile Sections 420, 467, 468, 470 IPC), at Police Station Civil Lines, Sirsa.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner submitted fake Aadhar Card while furnishing surety in the Court of learned JMIC, Sirsa and the aforesaid FIR has been registered on the basis of complaint filed by the Reader working in that Court.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he has no concern with the alleged occurrence. It is submitted that the FIR was registered on 30.11.2025, however, the petitioner was arrested on 02.12.2025. He also submits that the petitioner is



in custody for the last more than 04 months and 29 days and is not involved in any other case. He, therefore, prays for release of the petitioner on regular bail as the trial is likely to take a long time as challan is yet to be presented.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of this Court, Mr. Vijay Kumar, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent/State and has filed the custody certificate of the petitioner in Court, which is taken on record. He vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner. He, upon instructions, submits that the petitioner is in custody for the last more than 04 months and 29 days. He, upon instructions, submits that challan is yet to be presented.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. In view of the above submissions of learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the facts that the petitioner is in custody for the last more than 04 months and 29 days , he is not involved in any other case and that the trial is likely to take a long time to conclude as challan is yet to be presented, therefore, this Court deems it appropriate to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial as the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the

**CRM-M-23429-2026****-3-**

satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

9. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail, the State/complainant would be at liberty to seek cancellation of his bail.

May 01, 2026
Sonia

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No