

CRM-M-23257 of 2026(O&M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026:PHHC:079895



215

CRM-M-23257 of 2026(O&M)

Reserved on: 20.05.2026

Pronounced on:21.05.2026

Uploaded on:21.05.2026

Gurinder Singh alias Toti**..Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana****..Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL**

Present: Mr. Guninder Singh Brar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bareen Pratap Singh, AAG Punjab.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL, J.

1. Petitioner seeks regular bail in case vide FIR No. 227 dated 11.08.2017, under Sections 306 and 34 IPC, 1860, Police Station Samrala, District (Khanna), Ludhiana. This is the first petition for regular bail.

2. Case was registered on complaint of Bhag Singh s/o Swarn Singh who stated that he had married twice. He had three daughters Amandeep Kaur, Ramandeep Kaur and Sumandeep Kaur from the first wife and all three of them were married. He solemnized second marriage with younger sister of his wife and out of that wedlock, he had a son Prabhjot Singh aged 30 years and a daughter Gagandeep Kaur aged 26 $\frac{1}{2}$ years. His son Prabhjot Singh was abroad while Gagandeep Kaur was married to Sharanjit Singh on 25.07.2015. His son-in-law was permanent resident of

CRM-M-23257 of 2026(O&M)

America. He went back to USA after five months. Gurwinder Singh *alias* Toti s/o Major Singh of their village was also residing in USA for the last two years. One month after his son-in-law left for USA, his daughter told him that Gurwinder Singh *alias* Toti called and informed that he had been to meeting her husband. He also told her that he is in need of money, that she should borrow ₹5,00,000/- from her parents and give it to his mother Gurmeet Kaur and grandmother Baljinder Kaur, threatening to defame her and disturb her matrimonial life by conveying wrong things to her husband. Thereupon, he went to the house of Gurwinder Singh *alias* Toti, confronted his mother and grandmother as to why they were destroying the matrimonial life of his daughter. They paid no heed, rather, asked him to give money to them as told by their son and also used harsh words. He was upset and returned home. He noticed that Gurwinder Singh *alias* Toti had been calling his daughter Gagandeep Kaur, sending her messages and harassing her. Gurwinder Singh *alias* Toti also told wrong things about his daughter to his son-in-law. His son-in-law called his daughter and made enquiries about Gurwinder Singh *alias* Toti who was telling him things about her. He tried to explain and tell him the truth. When Gurwinder Singh *alias* Toti along with his mother and grandmother harassed his daughter too much, she switched off her phone permanently. Due to these events, Gagandeep Kaur and Sharanjit Singh were divorced on 14.07.2017 from Samrala Court. His daughter started remaining upset and had been telling him that Gurwinder Singh *alias* Toti, his mother and grandmother made her life hell and there was nothing left to live for. The night before, after having dinner, when he and his first wife were sleeping in their bedroom while his second wife

CRM-M-23257 of 2026(O&M)

Parminder Kaur and daughter Gagandeep Kaur were sleeping in separate bedroom, Parminder Kaur woke him up and informed that Gagandeep Kaur had fired a shot in her head. He ran to her room and saw her lying in a pool of blood. She fired a shot on the left side of her head with his licensed revolver. He called the doctor, who declared her dead. There was a notebook lying near the dead body, containing a suicide note, holding Gurwinder Singh *alias* Toti, his mother Gurmeet Kaur and grandmother Baljinder Kaur, responsible for her death. His daughter died due to harassment by Gurwinder Singh *alias* Toti, his mother and his grandmother.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that co-accused namely Baljinder Kaur @ Nachhattar Kaur and Gurmeet Kaur, grand-mother and mother of the petitioner, were allowed anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 08.01.2018 (Annexure P3) and co-accused Major Singh was allowed anticipatory bail by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana, vide order dated 13.12.2017. Allegations against the petitioner that he was demanding Rs. 5 lacs from the deceased, threatening to defame her and that he caused disturbance in her marital life which led to her divorce were false and exaggerated. Deceased committed suicide by using licensed revolver in the early hours of 11.08.2017. Petitioner had been residing in U.S.A. since 2014 and had never visited India. At the time of the alleged incident also, he was in U.S.A. While he was abroad petitioner was declared proclaimed offender behind his back by publishing the proclamation at his local address without following due process of law. A case under Section 174-A IPC was also registered against him in which he was allowed bail. Petitioner was arrested on 03.04.2026 and was in custody

CRM-M-23257 of 2026(O&M)

since then. There were no call details procured by the prosecution to link the petitioner with the alleged offence. Petitioner, therefore, deserved to be enlarged on regular bail.

4. Learned State counsel has filed status report in the form of affidavit of Pritpal Singh, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Samrala, Police District Khanna, District Ludhiana alongwith custody certificate and has opposed the prayer for regular bail submitting that deceased Gagandeep Kaur was married with Sharanjit Singh, who was resident of America and petitioner had been threatening the deceased to ruin her marital life, raising demands of Rs.5 lac on which account her husband started questioning the deceased about her character and finally ended the relationship by divorce. Petitioner, by his act and conduct, created such circumstance that Gagandeep kaur shot herself in the head leaving behind a suicide note. There was a clear involvement of the petitioner in the case and specific allegations were levelled against him in the suicide note, therefore, prayer for regular bail ought to be declined.

5. Concededly prior to registration of FIR, petitioner was abroad since 2014 and returned only this year. Learned State counsel concedes that no call details record of the deceased with the petitioner have been collected during investigation. Co-accused have since been enlarged on bail. Whether the acts attributed to the petitioner would amount to abetment and instigation to commit suicide will be determined by the Trial Court only on conclusion of trial. Antecedents of the petitioner are clean, except FIR No. 115 dated 12.06.2021, under Section 174-A IPC, Police Station Samrala, which is an shoot of the proceedings in the present case, no other case is registered

CRM-M-23257 of 2026(O&M)

against him. In the facts and circumstances of the case but without commenting on merits, petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate with the condition that he shall make over his passport to the Trial Court and shall seek permission of the Court if he needs to travel abroad.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

21.05.2026

reema
Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No