


2026:PHHC:024681



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

101

FAO-1252-2006 (O&M)
Date of decision: 17.02.2026

Chironja Devi

...Appellant

Versus

Ashok Kumar and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. B.S. Taunque, Advocate
for respondent No.3-insurance company
(through video conferencing).

VIKAS SURI, J.(Oral)

1. The present appeal is for enhancement of compensation awarded by the Motor Accidents Claims Tribunal, Faridabad. The following order was passed on 19.12.2025:

“No one has put in appearance on behalf of the appellant.

As per office report, despite numerous reminders to learned counsel for the appellant, correct present addresses of unserved respondent Nos.1 and 2 have not been supplied so far.

Learned counsel for the appellant to take necessary steps to serve the aforesaid respondents before the next date of hearing, failing which the main appeal be listed in the



urgent list on 17.02.2026.

IOIN stands disposed of. ”

2. There is no representation on behalf of the appellant even today, despite the case having been called twice.
3. A perusal of the impugned award would show that the liability to pay compensation has been fastened upon the owner and the driver of the offending vehicle, who were proceeded *ex parte* before the Tribunal. Despite numerous reminders to learned counsel for the appellant, no steps have been taken to serve the said respondents before this Court.
4. In view of the aforesaid, it would be safe for this Court to infer that the appellant is no longer interested to pursue the present appeal.
5. Dismissed for want of prosecution.
6. However, liberty is reserved to the appellant to seek revival of the appeal, in case any grievance still survives.

February 17, 2026

sumit.k

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes / No
Whether Reportable :	Yes / No