



CWP-12578-2026 (O&M)

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

108

CWP-12578-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision: 24.04.2026

Gurjant Singh

....Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present: Mr. Sunny K. Singla, Advocate and
Mr. Navdeep Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Shivender Pal, Advocate, appears
for respondents No.1 to 3-UOI.

Mr. Ishan Kaushal, AAG, Punjab.

HARSH BUNGER J. (Oral)

1 Petition herein is, filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of Mandamus, for directing respondents No.1 to 3 to issue the passport to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submits that the petitioner was arrayed as an accused in case FIR No.53 dated 15.07.2015 under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Ahmedgarh, District Sangrur. He further submits that till date, charges have not been framed in the aforesaid case, therefore, case of the petitioner can be considered by the Passport Authority in the light of observations made by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in the case of ***“Mohan Lal @ Mohna Vs. Union of India and others”***, 2023 SCC Online P&H 1391.

**CWP-12578-2026 (O&M)**

-2-

3. Mr. Naveen Kumar, Advocate for Mr. Shivender Pal, Advocate, appears on behalf of the respondents No.1 to 3-UOI, in pursuance of the advance copy of paper book having already been supplied to him and submits that the application for issuance of passport submitted by the petitioner is pending consideration before the concerned Passport Authority. He submits that case of the petitioner will be considered in the light of the judgment rendered in ***Mohan Lal @ Mohna's*** case (supra), however, he further submits that in case any documents/clarifications are required from the petitioner, he would be called to the Passport office by way of written communication/e-mail, and in case the petitioner submits the requisite documents/clarifications, then the Passport Authorities will examine the same and an appropriate decision would be taken on the application submitted by the petitioner (as the circumstances may warrant), in accordance with law.

4. Keeping in view the aforesaid stand taken by learned counsel for the respondents No.1 to 3, no further order is required to be passed and the instant writ petition is disposed of accordingly

5. All the pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

24.04.2026

ankit

**(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No