

**109 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**



**CRM-M-23005-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.04.2026**

**KARANBIR SINGH ALIAS KARAN ... PETITIONER
VERSUS
STATE OF PUNJAB ... RESPONDENT**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (erstwhile Section 438 Cr.P.C.) in FIR No. 0099 dated 04.12.2025 registered under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 191(3), 190 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023 (Section 323, 324, 148, 149 IPC 1860) at Police Station Jhander, District Amritsar Rural.
2. The case of the prosecution is that on 30.10.2025 petitioner along with the co-accused waylaid the complainant Darshan Singh while he was on his way back to his house and gave injuries to the complainant, Balwinder Singh and Nirmal Singh.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is stated that petitioner and his father were also given injuries by the complainant party in a cross-case and the FIR has been registered after a delay of 04 days.
4. Notice of motion.
5. Mr. Parneet Singh Pandher, A.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on

behalf of the State and Mr. Gobind Singh Randhawa, Advocate has filed memo of appearance on behalf of the complainant. The same is taken on record.

6. Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainant have opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations.

7. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

8. A perusal of the FIR shows that a specific role has been attributed to the petitioner that he has inflicted head injuries upon Darshan Singh as well as Balwinder Singh with kirch/dagger which are stated to be grivous in nature. Keeping in view the entire facts and circumstances of the case , the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary for thorough and effective investigation of the case. Therefore, considering the seriousness and gravity of the allegations, no exceptional or extraordinary grounds are made out for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

9. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

27.04.2026

Janki

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No