



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

109

COC-1942-2026

Date of Decision: 24.04.2026

GURJIT KAUR

....Petitioner

V/s

SANDEEP KUMAR MALIK, SSP, HOSHIARPUR, PB

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Mitul Singh Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shiny Chopra, A.A.G., Punjab.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J. (ORAL)

The instant contempt petition alleges willful disobedience of order dated 24.02.2026 (Annexure P-1) passed in CRWP No.2166 of 2026, vide which the following directions were issued:-

“Learned counsel representing petitioner pointed out that the complaint titled as “Gurjit Kaur Vs. Joginder Singh, SHO (supra)” Annexure P-2 is pending before the trial Court for 18.03.2026. She is being threatened by respondents No.5 to 9 with serious consequences, in case she did not withdraw the complaint. The representation is already addressed to respondent No.3. In the light of this, the official respondent No.3 is directed to decide the representation dated 29.01.2026 (Annexure P-3) within a span of 2 weeks from the receipt of order and the petitioner be also provided opportunity of hearing. In case, the petitioner has any threat to her life and liberty, she can approach the official respondents No.2 and 3 who will take appropriate steps as required. With this direction, petition is accordingly disposed of.”

2. At the outset, learned counsel representing the State of Punjab submits that the representation submitted by the petitioner has been decided in compliance with the directions issued vide order dated 24.02.2026. She has furnished a copy of report dated 02.04.2026 of Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur. As per the same, the allegations levelled have been found to be false and the complaint has been ordered to be filed. A copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not press the present contempt petition and the petitioner shall, if so advised, avail all such remedies as shall be admissible in law.

4. In view of the statement given by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present contempt petition is disposed of as not having been pressed.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

April 24, 2026
Mani Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No