

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2026:PHHC:063747



CRM-M-23095 of 2026 (O&M)

Date of Order:27.04.2026

Hazoor Singh alias Hazoor Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. Raghav Soni, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, AAG, Punjab.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL, J.

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case vide FIR No.122, dated 08.12.2025, under Sections 108 and 3(5) of BNS (Sections 306 and 34 IPC), Police Station Tarsikka, District Amritsar. This is the first application for anticipatory bail.

Learned counsel for petitioner submits that petitioner, father-in-law of the deceased, had been falsely implicated in the case. The allegations against him were vague and general in nature. Petitioner never subjected the deceased to demand for dowry or any kind of harassment. Complainant had executed affidavit Annexure P-2 stating therein that no incident of harassment or demand for dowry had taken place. Learned counsel further submits that statement of the deceased that she had consumed poison fed up with her husband and parents-in-law was made in semi-conscious condition. It was neither recorded before the Magistrate nor in accordance with settled and legal procedure. Deceased has prior medical history and was

undergoing treatment since long. She committed suicide on account of mental distress due to loss of her child. After the marriage and during the time she lived in the matrimonial home, deceased never made any kind of complaint to the authorities alleging demand for dowry. Petitioner, therefore, deserved to be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, AAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of State and has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail submitting that petitioner was alleged to have harassed the deceased in connection with demand for dowry and *prima-facie* from the allegations levelled case under Section 80 BNSS was made out.

As per allegations in the FIR, son of the petitioner was married with deceased Simranjit Kaur, in the year 2023. Death by way of poisoning occurred on 07.12.2025 within three years of marriage. There are allegations that petitioner and co-accused had been repeatedly demanding dowry, harassing tormenting and beating deceased Simranjit Kaur for bringing Bullet motor-cycle, car and Rs.1,00,000/-.

Considering the serious nature of allegations, there are no exceptional circumstances for grant of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

27.04.2026

reema

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No