

2026:PHHC:063127



128.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12604-2026

Date of decision: 24.04.2026

Harjit Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. S.S. Sodhi, Advocate, and
Mr. G.S. Gorla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the charge-sheets bearing No.2778/79, dated 17.04.2018 (Annexure P-7); order No.P/A-4/2019/2019435275-276, dated 23.12.2019 (Annexure P-8); order No.P/A-4-2020-85475-76, dated 09.03.2020 (Annexure P-9); order No.2025/295153-295137, dated 06.10.2025 (Annexure P-10), total 4 charges, which have become infructuous, as no further proceedings either have been initiated or any finding has been given on any of the aforementioned charge-sheets till date but has been deliberately and arbitrarily issued in order to spoil the career and due promotions of the petitioner and have been kept pending only in order to deprive the petitioner from due promotion showing the malafide intentions of the concerned seniors. Further, seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to enhance the salary of the petitioner

by giving due increments equal to respondent No.3 to 12, who are juniors to the petitioner or similarly situated by adopting the policy of catch up and for the order to recovery of the dues from the State or the erring officers. Further seeking directions to the respondents to correct and update the service book of the petitioner by granting the salary upto date as per 7th Pay Commission as well as by giving the benefits of ACP promotion on completion of 4, 9 and 14 years respectively of service and also direct the respondents to pay the arrears of difference of salary, due increments, leave encashment etc., to the tune of Rs.60 lakhs due up to December, 2025 as per the calculation sheet (Annexure P-11). Further seeking direction to the respondent authorities to promote the petitioner on the post of DEO having qualification of ETT, BA, B.Ed, MA.M.Ed, by giving the due promotion to the petitioner to the master cadre initially then to promote on the post of lecturer and then to promote on the post of Principal and then to promote to the post of DEO on account of length of service of more than 25 years by giving all the benefits attached to the service. Further, to add 25 due yearly increments alongwith due increments after the due promotion from the date of joining till date by adding the same in the service book and to release the same with interest @ 18% per annum, as despite the legal notice dated 03.12.2025 (Annexure P-12) duly served upon the respondent authorities, the respondent authorities have failed to release the arrears within stipulated time.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner restricts his claim only qua fixation of his pay at par with his juniors and correction in the service book,

for which, he has already submitted legal notice dated 03.12.2025 (Annexure P-12) to the respondents which is still pending consideration. He further submits that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied, if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.2 to consider and decide said legal notice, by passing a speaking order, in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion confines to the officials respondents only at this stage.

4. Mr. Surya Kumar, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents and has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.

6. Without going into the merits of the case at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide legal notice dated 03.12.2025 (Annexure P-12) submitted by the petitioner expeditiously, by passing a speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereon shall be conveyed to the petitioner.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

24.04.2026
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No