



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12512-2026

Date of Decision : **24.04.2026**

M/S SUNNY TRAVELS REGD.

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE TRANSPORT COMMISSIONER, PUNJABRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Rajinder Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. The main grievance which propelled the present petitioner to approach this Court by casting instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is the indolent and lackadaisical approach of the authority concerned, in not deciding the application dated 20.06.2011 (Annexure P-2).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied, in case a *mandamus* is passed upon respondents, to take a final decision on the application (*supra*), in a time bound manner.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel, submits that he has no objection, if the aforesaid direction is passed upon the respondent authorities.

4. In view of the above, and considering the prayer, as made by learned counsel for the petitioner, being innocuous and *bona fide*, this Court, without observing anything on merits of the instant case, directs the respondent, to take a final decision on the application (*supra*), by passing a speaking order, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

5. **Disposed of** accordingly.

6. All pending application(s), if any, also stand **disposed** of accordingly.

April 24, 2026
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No