

2026:PHHC:073303



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

210

**CRM-M-22534-2026
Date of Decision: 11.05.2026**

RANJIT SINGH

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY.

Present: Ms. B.S. Randhawa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail for the petitioner in Cross DDR No.26, dated 02.01.2026 under Sections 118(1), 118(2), 3(5) of BNS, 2023 (324, 326, 34 of IPC) registered in FIR No.125 dated 07.09.2025 under Sections 115(2) 118(1), 191(3), 190 (323, 324, 148, 149 of IPC) [Section 118(2) of BNS, 2023 (326 of IPC) added later on] registered at Police Station Sekhwan, District Gurdaspur.

2. On 24.04.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel submits that there is a delay of 3 months in lodging the FIR. It is a case of version and cross-version. The injury attributed to him is on the non-vital part, it being on the right elbow of Gurmej Singh. He is ready and willing to join the

investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and Mr. Amarjeet Singh Prajapati, Advocate appears on behalf of the complainant.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 01.05.2026. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482 (2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 11.05.2026.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case, the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 24.04.2026 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made

absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

MAY 11, 2026.

Rajender

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No