

2026:PHHC:071062



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

207

CRM-M-22255-2026
Date of Decision: 07.05.2026

RAJ SINGH

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY.

Present: Mr. Fateh Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Kaile, DAG, Haryana.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail for the petitioner in FIR No. 0022, dated 02.02.2026, under Sections 190 (old section 149 IPC), 191(3) (old section 148 IPC), 115 (old section 323 IPC), 126(2) (old section 339 IPC), 351(3) (old section 506 IPC), 109 (old section 307 IPC) of BNS, 2023 and Sections 25/54/59 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Mullana, District Ambala.

2. On 22.04.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel submits that the petitioner had left the spot prior to the alleged occurrence and no avert act has been attributed to him.

There is delay of 5 hours in lodging the FIR. There is no evidence to connect him with the firing having taken place at the

hands of co-accused Aman Saini, who is in custody. Similarly circumstanced co-accused Balbir Singh was granted interim anticipatory bail on 08.04.2026, which stands made absolute vide order of the even date. The petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. B.S.Saroha, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 29.04.2026. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 07.05.2026.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case, the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.
4. Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Ram Karan, CIA Naraingarh affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.
5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the

merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 22.04.2026 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

MAY 07, 2026

Rajender

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No