



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

121

CWP-12484-2026

Date of Decision: 24.04.2026

ADITYA GUPTA

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: Mr. S.K. Garg Narwana, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Vishal Garg Narwana, Advocate and
Mr. Shubham Goyal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioner has approached this Court *inter alia* for quashing of notices, dated 14.01.2025, Annexures P-8 and P-9, issued by the District Town Planner, Enforcement, Gurugram, under Section 10 (2) of the Haryana Development and Regulations of Urban Areas Act, 1975 (for short 'the 1975 Act').

2. During the course of hearing, learned senior counsel for the petitioner states that a consolidated representation/response has been submitted by the petitioner vide e-mail on 26.02.2026, Annexure P-11, wherein besides requesting for withdrawal of impugned notices, a request has also been made for permission to convert the residential plots into commercial plots.

3. Be that as it may, since impugned show cause notices are



pending and a response has been submitted, this Court is of the view that the show cause notices deserve to be taken to their logical end.

4. Accordingly, writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to take a final decision on the show cause notices in accordance with law, after considering the response, Annexure P-11, within a period of three months from the date of communication of this order.

5. It is expected that the competent authority shall pass a reasoned order in case the response submitted by the petitioner is being rejected.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

April 24, 2026
harish

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No