



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

152+265

1)

CRM-M-23747-2025
Decided on : 18.05.2026

Harbhajan Singh and another . . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others . . . Respondent(s)

2)

CRM-M-23798-2025

Lalit and others . . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others . . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Ms. Renu, Advocate for
Mr. Vishal Singh Borwal, Advocate
for the petitioners (in CRM-M-23747-2025) and
for respondents No.2 & 3 (in CRM-M-23798-2025).

Mr. PK Jhanda, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sanjay Khan, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) (in CRM-M-23798-2025) and
for respondents No.2 to 7 (in CRM-M-23747-2025).

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

CRM-22193-2026 in CRM-M-23747-2025

The instant application is for impleading Ratipal son of Rajpal
as respondent No.8 in the present petition.

The application is allowed as prayed for.

Ratipal son of Rajpal is impleaded as respondent No.8 in the
present petition.

Amended memo of parties is taken on record.

Registry is directed to tag the same at the appropriate place.

CRM-M-23747-2025 (O&M) with CRM-M-23798-2025

1. This order shall dispose of CRM-M-23747-2025 and CRM-M-23798-2025, as the same have emanated out of same occurrence and prayer made therein is for quashing of criminal proceedings on the basis of compromise dated 19.03.2025 (in both petitions), entered into between the affected parties.

2. It is a case of version and cross-version. Petitioners in CRM-M-23747-2025 are seeking quashing of Sessions Kalandra u/s 307 of IPC and Sections 25 & 29 of Arms Act, 1959, dated 28.06.2022 (Annexure P-2), as a cross-version in case FIR No.486, dated 03.09.2021, under Section 307 of IPC and Sections 25 & 29 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Bhiwani City, District Bhiwani (Annexure P-1), and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, whereas petitioners in CRM-M-23798-2025 are seeking quashing of FIR No.486, dated 03.09.2021, under Sections 307, 34, 323, 325 (added later on) of IPC, registered at Police Station Bhiwani City, District Bhiwani (Annexure P-1), and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 19.03.2025 (in both petitions), arrived at between the parties.

3. Vide common order dated 27.11.2025 (separately passed in both petitions), the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.

4. **Vide order passed in CRM-22193-2026 in CRM-M-23747-2025 of even date, Ratipal son of Rajpal by now has impleaded as respondent No.8 in the present petition and his statement has already been recorded before the learned Trial Court which has been reflected in the report dated 15.01.2026 submitted by the trial Court.**

5. A common report has since been received from learned Additional Sessions Judge, Bhiwani, in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

6. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report. The relevant part of the said report is reproduced here-below:

Sr. No.	Description	
1.	Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute/FIR	(i) Two in CRM-M-23747-2025. (ii) Six in CRM-M-23798-2025.
2.	Number of complainant/victim(s)	(i) Seven in CRM-M-23747-2025. (ii) Two in CRM-M-23798-2025
3.	Whether all the accused and complainant / victims are party to compromise & signed the same	Yes
4.	In case, any affected person (accused or complainant) is left out or not arrayed as party in the quashing petition before High Court, detail whereof; OR	No
	His/her statement is still to be recorded, in compliance to the direction of this Court, details of such person	--
5.	Whether any accused has been declared as a proclaimed offender/person or any such proceedings against him/her have been initiated or pending adjudication	No
6.	Report of the Court whether compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence	Yes
7.	Any other aspect relevant to the present case.	All the relevant aspects have been detailed above.

7. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and the private respondents are the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

8. In view of the report of the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Bhiwani, and the principles laid down by Hon’ble the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

9. Needless to say that the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.
10. **Petitions stand disposed of.**
11. Pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.
12. Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

May 18, 2026
mahima

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*