IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.102 CRWP-4619-2026

Date of decision : 24.04.2026

Deepak ..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE LAPITA BANERJI

Present **:** Mr. Randeep S. Dhull, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Dhruv Dayal, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

* * * * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J**.** (Oral)

(1) At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he restricts his prayer to the issuance of directions to respondent No.2-Divisional Commissioner, Karnal to finally dispose of the recommendations made by the Jail Authorities, District Jail, Karnal to respondents No.2 and 3 for grant of parole to the petitioner, as the same has been pending decision since 19.02.2026.

(2) Learned State counsel has no objection to the acceptance of the afore alternate prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

(3) After hearing learned counsel for the parties and going through the record, we find that the decision on the petitioner's request for grant of parole has been unnecessarily delayed by the State which is also against the spirit behind Section 12 of the Haryana Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Act, 2022.

(4) In light of the above, we dispose of the instant petition with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a final decision on the petitioner's request made on 19.02.2026 for the grant of parole, within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, in accordance with law.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

24.04.2026 (LAPITA BANERJI)
vandana JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No

VANDANA
2026.05.01 09:47
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document