

CR-3501-2026

1

2026:PHHC:062864



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR-3501-2026

Date of decision : 24.04.2026

Rajbala and others

... Petitioners

Versus

M/s Baru Mal Vinod Kumar Commission Agents and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Rahul Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioners.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the impugned order dated 06.03.2026 passed by the Civil Judge (Jr. Div.), Safidon, vide which the application filed by the petitioners under Order 7 Rule 11 read with Section 151 CPC for rejection of plaint has been dismissed.

2. Section 8 of the Commercial Courts Act, 2015 is reproduced hereinbelow:-

“Section 8: Bar against revision application or petition against an interlocutory order.-Notwithstanding anything contained in any other law for the time being in force, no civil revision application or petition shall be entertained against any interlocutory order of a Commercial Court, including an order on the issue of jurisdiction, and any such challenge, subject to the provisions of section 13, shall be raised only in an appeal



- against the decree of the Commercial Court.”*
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in view of the bar under Section 8 of the Commercial Courts Act, 2015, the petitioners be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to challenge the impugned order along with an appeal against the decree of the Commercial Court, in case any such occasion arises.
4. In view of the above, the present revision petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

April 24, 2026.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No