



142

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-2630-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 29.01.2026

Parshotam Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Subhash Kumar Sharma (deceased) through his LRs

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rishabh Gupta, Advocate for the respondent(s).

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Challenge in the present revision petition is to the order dated 13.11.2024 to a very limited extent, by the landlord.

2. On 27.01.2026, this Court had recorded the said limited challenge made by the petitioner. Order dated 27.01.2026 is reproduced hereinbelow:-

“Present:- Mr. Anil K. Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rishbah Gupta, Advocate for LRs of the respondent.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is only aggrieved with the fact that the amount of mesne profit which has been assessed, has been ordered to be deposited instead of being paid to the petitioner.

Learned counsel appearing for the legal representatives of the respondent prays for an adjournment to get instructions in the matter.

Adjourned to 29.01.2026.

To be taken up in the urgent list.

January 27, 2026”

3. Learned counsel for the respondent(s) has submitted that in case the amount which has been deposited and is also lying in Fixed Deposit is to be paid to the petitioner, then, in case the respondent(s) wins the matter and his



appeal is allowed, then the said amount which has already been deposited as mesne profits be adjusted with future admitted rent, which respondent(s) would be liable to pay to the petitioner in case appeal is allowed as the respondent(s) would continue to be a tenant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he has no objection to the said course of action.

5. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances and fair stand taken on behalf of the petitioner as well as on behalf of respondent(s), the present revision petition is disposed of and order dated 13.11.2024 is modified to the limited extent that the amount which has been deposited by the respondent(s) as mesne profits and has also been directed to be deposited every month would be paid to the petitioner. In case the appeal filed by the respondent(s) is dismissed then the amount which has been credited to the petitioner would not be required to be adjusted or returned. However, in case appeal filed by the respondent(s)-tenant is allowed then since respondent(s)-tenant would continue to be a tenant in the premises and thus, amount which has already been paid as mesne profits and the amount which would be paid as mesne profits till the time the first appeal is decided, would be adjusted with future rent.

6. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

29.01.2026

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No