



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

103

CRM-M-22843-2026

Date of Decision: 24.04.2026

RAJ KUMARI

....PETITIONER

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEERJA K. KALSON

Present:- Mr. Abhishek Sindhvani, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gorav Kathuria, DAG, Punjab.

NEERJA K. KALSON, J.(ORAL)

1. Petitioner has filed instant petition under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.44 dated 13.03.2022, under Sections 313, 323, 498-A, 506 of IPC Act (Sections 89, 115(2), 85, 351(2)/(3) of BNS), registered at Police Station Women Jind, District Jind (Annexure P-1).

2. The allegations against the petitioner are that she constantly manipulated and demanded dowry from the complainant and was also involved in causing the miscarriage of the complainant when she was 2 weeks pregnant while she was at the hospital.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it is pertinent to mention here that the petitioner was named in the FIR along with six other persons. The petitioner was arrested on 01.06.2022 and challan was presented on 24.06.2022. The petitioner was granted the concession of regular bail vide



order dated 24.01.2023 passed by this Hon'ble Court in CRM-M-35009-2022. It is further submitted that the petitioner had been regularly appearing before the learned trial Court; however, her health started declining and she had to undergo various medical tests and recently an operation as well. The relevant medical record has been annexed with the present petition. The last date of hearing before the learned trial Court was 22.01.2026, on which an application seeking exemption from personal appearance was moved on behalf of the petitioner, which came to be declined, and non-bailable warrants were issued against her.

4. Learned counsel for the State opposes the present petition on the ground of the nature of the allegations.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. The present petition is disposed of without commenting upon the merits of the order passed by the learned trial Court. However, in the interest of justice, one opportunity is granted to the petitioner to appear before the learned trial Court within a period of 10 days from today. On her doing so, and on deposit of costs of Rs.20,000/- with the District Legal Services Authority, Jind, the petitioner shall be permitted to furnish fresh bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

7. It is made clear that in the event of failure to appear or failure to deposit the costs within the time granted, the present order shall automatically lose its effect and the learned trial Court shall be at liberty to proceed further in accordance with law.



8. Nothing herein shall be construed construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(NEERJA K. KALSON)
JUDGE

24.04.2026

pry	Whether her Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
	Whether Reportable	Yes/No