



CRM-M-22103-2026

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

113

CRM-M-22103-2026
Date of decision : 22.04.2026

RAJANDEEP SINGH

... PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.. RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Rajiv Kumar Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (corresponding to Section 438 Cr.P.C.) seeking anticipatory bail for the petitioner in FIR No.156 dated 23.10.2025, under Section 21(C) of NDPS Act (Section 29 of the NDPS Act added later on), registered at Police Station Kalanaur, District Gurdaspur.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 23.10.2025, co-accused(s) Navjot Singh and Gurpreet Singh @ Mahajan were apprehended and recovery of 2 kgs.80 grams of heroin was effected from them. During interrogation, both of them suffered disclosure statements and named other co-accused, namely, Sukhmandeep Singh, Rajandeep(petitioner) and the mother of the petitioner-Sharanjeet Kaur @ Suman Kaur and stated that they had purchased the contraband from them.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that the petitioner was not named in the FIR and was arraigned as an accused only on



CRM-M-22103-2026

-2-

the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused. It is submitted that besides the disclosure statement, there is no incriminating material which would connect him with the alleged offence. It is submitted that the disclosure of an accused while in custody is not a reliable evidence to implicate a person. He also submits that the petitioner is ready and willing to join investigation.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. P.S. Pandher, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent/State and vehemently opposes the grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. It is submitted that the matter is still under investigation and co-accused(s) Navjot Singh and Gurpreet Singh @ Mahajan specifically stated that they had procured the contraband from the petitioner and other co-accused which shows their active participation in the alleged offence. Moreover, the recovery is of commercial quantity. He, therefore, prays for dismissal of this petition as the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary for proper and effective investigation.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have perused the material available on record.

7. It is apparent that the petitioner has been nominated as an accused on the basis of the disclosure statements suffered by co-accused Navjot Singh and Gurpreet Singh @ Mahajan, from whom 2 kgs. 80 grams of heroin was recovered. In their disclosure statements, they have specifically stated that they had procured the said contraband from the present petitioner along with other co-accused, which shows the active/direct role in the alleged offence.

8. Moreover, the recovery effected from co-accused is commercial in nature and the investigation is still in progress. Therefore, the custodial



CRM-M-22103-2026

interrogation of the petitioner appears to be necessary for a fair and effective investigation.

9. Keeping in view the seriousness of the offence and the specific role attributed to the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

10. Consequently, the petition is, hereby, dismissed.

11. However, it is clarified that the observations made hereinabove would not have any bearing on the merits of the case.

April 22, 2026
Sonia

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No