

2026:PHHC:065965



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

144

CRM-M-22846-2026  
Date of decision: 28.04.2026

Narsi Ram

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present : Mr. Rahul Sidher, Advocate for the petitioner

\*\*\*\*\*

**AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)**

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 BNSS for quashing of order dated 05.03.2026 passed by the learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Sirsa, vide which the bail of the petitioner stands cancelled and his bail/surety bonds were forfeited.

2. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner was granted regular bail vide order dated 27.02.2025, whereafter, he continued to appear regularly before the trial Court but for 05.03.2026, which was on account of death of his close relative. An application for exemption was filed but the same was not accepted, leading to cancellation of his bail and forfeiture of bail/surety bonds. His non-appearance was neither intentional nor deliberate but due to the aforesaid bona fide reason. He is ready and willing to join the proceedings and prays for grant of one opportunity for him to surrender before the trial Court, even if the same is subject to costs.

3. Notice of motion.

4. At the asking of the Court, Mr. B.S. Saroha, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and submits that the non-bailable warrants have been rightly issued against the petitioner, as he had absented from the proceedings.

5. Heard.

6. The very purpose of issuance of warrants of arrest, is to compel and secure the presence of the accused to face trial and establish the rule of law, as also to ensure finalization of the proceedings.

7. This Court in CRM-M-36490-2022, titled as **Major Singh vs. State of Punjab**, decided on 15.09.2022, CRM-M-38277-2022, **Surjit Singh vs. State of Punjab**, dated 26.08.2022 and CRM-M-39000-2022, titled as **Raghav vs. State of Punjab**, decided on 09.09.2022, had set aside the orders of non-bailable warrants.

8. Considering the fact that the absence of the petitioner was not willful or deliberate and his readiness and willingness to surrender and join the proceedings, in case one opportunity is granted to the petitioner, no prejudice shall be caused to any of the parties, rather his joining the proceedings would help in expediting the trial. This Court in light of the judgment referred to above being applicable to the instant case, finds that the ends of justice would be adequately met if the present petition is allowed.

9. As a consequence of the aforesaid, the impugned order dated 05.03.2026, Annexure P-2 is set aside.

10. The petitioner is directed to surrender before the trial Court on or before 10.05.2026, subject to deposit of Rs.15,000/- as costs with Sai Aasra Paraplegic Rehab Centre (Kotak Mahindra Bank, Branch Sector 27 D, Chandigarh, Account No: 9612001641, IFSC: KKBK0004201. On furnishing

