



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

228

CRM-M-21890-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision: 22.05.2026

BALINDER SINGH ALIAS BIRENDER AND OTHERS ... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Lovish, Advocate for
Mr. Anuj Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Amrik Narwal, DAG, Haryana.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. Learned State counsel submits that the additional accused Nanhi Devi is not made party in the quashing petition as also victim Dikshit, in view of which, learned counsel for the petitioners prays for withdrawal of the present petition with liberty to file a fresh with better particulars on the same cause of action, by impleading the aforesaid accused and victim, in case, there is a compromise arrived at with them.

2. Ordered accordingly.

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

22.05.2026

ashok

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No