



209 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA**
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-21920-2026
Date of decision: 11.05.2026

HARPAL SINGH **...PETITIONER**

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB **...RESPONDENT**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present: Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Utkrant Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Sukhandeep Singh, Advocate for the complainant.

H.S.GREWAL,J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 (erstwhile Section 438 Cr.P.C.) for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.46 dated 20.03.2026, under Section 420 IPC (now Section 318 BNS, 2023), registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Amritsar..
2. This Court, vide order dated 22.04.2026, had directed the petitioner to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer and join investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was ordered to be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the SHO/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS (erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).
3. Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 22.04.2026, passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined and cooperated with the investigation.
4. Status report by way of an affidavit of Gagandeep Singh, PPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, North, Amritsar has been filed in the Court



today and the same is taken on record. He further states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. Learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the prayer made by ld. counsel for the petitioner.

6. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 22.04.2026 is **made absolute**. The petitioner shall continue to join investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

7. However, it is clarified that the investigating authority on addition of an offence or offences may not proceed to arrest the accused/petitioner, but for arresting the accused/petitioner on such addition of offence or offences it needs to obtain an order to arrest the accused/petitioners from the Court which had granted the bail in view of the judgment passed by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in *Sumit Vs State of U.P. and Another*, Criminal Appeal No. 830 of 2026, decided on 09.02.2026.

8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

11.05.2026
renu

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No