



264

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

ARB-264-2025

Date of decision: 19.02.2026

JAIPRAKASH ASSOCIATES LTD.

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, CHANDIGARH

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Akshay Jindal, Senior Advocate with  
Mr. Tushar Kush, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Shubreet Kaur, Advocate for the respondent.

\*\*\*\*

**JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)**

1. Both the learned counsels for the parties have submitted that the issue regarding the competence as to which Court would extend the mandate of the Arbitrator under Section 29A of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 has since been decided by Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Jagdeep Chowgule versus Sheela Chowgule and others, 2026 SCC OnLine SC 124**. It has been held that the competent Court for the purpose of Section 29A would be the Court as defined under Section 2(1)(e) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.

2. In view of the above, learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present petition.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn.

4. The parties are at liberty to file an appropriate application under Section 29A of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, before the competent Court as defined under Section 2(1)(e) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, in accordance with law.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)  
JUDGE

19.02.2026  
Chetan Thakur

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No