

**CRM-M-23189-2025****-1-****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****102****CRM-M-23189-2025****Date of Decision: 10.03.2026****STATE OF HARYANA****... PETITIONER****VERSUS****RAHUL ALIAS RAMDIYA****... RESPONDENT****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL****Present:- Ms. Malvika Singh, DAG, Haryana****None for the respondent.****H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)**

1. This petition has been filed under Section 483 (3) of the BNSS, 2023 for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to the respondent vide order dated 31.01.2025 passed by Id. Addl. District & Sessions Judge, Rohtak.
2. On the last date of hearing, Mr. Sumit Sangwan appeared on behalf of the respondent however, none has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent.
3. Learned State counsel submits that bail was granted to the respondent on the ground that the identity of the petitioner was not clear and, therefore, his role in the occurrence was also not clear. She further submits that after a detailed analysis of the CCTV footage from the place of the incident, a report has been received from the Cyber Security Branch, S.P. Office, Rohtak, as per which, the CCTV footage of Camera No. 6 dated 12.02.2024 was analysed, wherein a black coloured Kia vehicle is seen stopping at 01:49:33 a.m. From the said vehicle, one boy is seen coming down from the driver's



CRM-M-23189-2025

-2-

seat, another boy from the seat behind the driver’s seat, and a third boy from the conductor’s seat. Upon matching the said footage with the photograph and video of Rahul @ Ramdiya, it has been found that the boy who got down from the driver’s seat and later sat back on the driver’s seat is Rahul @ Ramdiya.

4. I have heard the submissions made by learned State counsel and perused the record.

5. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the fact that subsequent analysis of the CCTV footage by the Cyber Security Branch has prima facie established the identity of the respondent, which was earlier not clear at the time of grant of bail, this Court is of the considered opinion that the custodial interrogation of the respondent is required, therefore, the present petition is allowed and order dated 31.01.2025 passed by Id. Addl. District & Sessions Judge, Rohtak is hereby set aside. The respondent is directed to surrender before the trial Court forthwith.

10.03.2026
renu

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:		Yes/No