


IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

2026:PHHC:054243-DB



LPA-1261-2024 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 08.04.2026

Varinder Singh ...Appellant

Vs.

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR**

Present: Mr. R.S. Khosla, Sr. Advocate with  
Mr. Yogender Verma, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Prateek Mahajn, Advocate for respondent No.3.

Mr. Sanjeev Gupta, Sr. Advocate with  
Mr. Naveen Jhagholia, Advocate for respondent No.4.

Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.5.

\*\*\*

**ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA, J. (Oral)**

1. In view of the fact that writ petitioner has already attained the age of superannuation, learned senior counsel for the appellant submits that the controversy no longer subsists in the present appeal.
2. In that view of the matter, the present appeal is dismissed as having become infructuous. It is, however, made clear that any benefit already extended to the parties, shall not be withdrawn on account of dismissal of the present appeal. It goes without saying that benefit due under

the judgment of the learned Single Judge shall not be denied to the private respondents.

3. All pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA)  
JUDGE

(ROHIT KAPOOR)  
JUDGE

08.04.2026  
rajesh

1. Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable? : Yes/No