



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

120

CWP-12516-2026

Date of Decision: 24.04.2026

ANITA

...Petitioner

Versus

SATAE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Lokesh Shivansh, Advocate for petitioner

Mr. Akshit Pathania, AAG, Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of letter dated 03.07.2024 (Annexure P-5) whereby her claim under *Deen Dayal Upadhyaya Antyodaya Parivar Suraksha Yojna* has been rejected on the ground of delay.

2. The petitioner claims that her daughter passed away on 13.06.2023 in a road accident. She vide application dated 13.01.2024 applied for financial assistance under aforesaid Yojna. The respondent has rejected her claim on the ground of delay.

3. Learned State counsel on being confronted with order dated 27.03.2026 passed by this Court in *CWP-9253-2026* expressed his inability



to distinguish facts and issues involved in the present petition from said order.

4. In the wake of statement of learned State counsel, the petition stands disposed of in terms of order dated 27.03.2026 passed in *CWP-9253-2026*.

5. Pending Misc. application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

24.04.2026
SDK

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No