



CWP-11921-2026

:1:

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

104

**CWP-11921-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision : 24.04.2026**

PARDEEP KUMAR AND OTHERS

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA

Present :- Mr. Sunil K. Nehra, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Karan Ranjha, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Additional A.G., Haryana.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, Advocate
for respondents No.5, 18, 21 and 22.

Mr. Sankalp Dhanda, Advocate and
Mr. Rajender Kumar, Advocate
for respondents No.11, 14, 15 and 17.

Deepinder Singh Nalwa, J. (Oral)

1. In the present writ petition, the petitioner has challenged the list of officiating Inspectors (Male) as on 01.01.2025 (Annexure P-7), order dated 25.11.2025 (Annexure P-9), and also the communication/order dated 07.04.2026 (Annexure P-10) issued by the Director General of Police, Haryana.

**CWP-11921-2026****:2:**

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner *inter alia* submits that a perusal of the impugned order dated 25.11.2025 (Annexure P-9) would show that the objection filed by petitioner No.3 was considered and rejected being devoid of merit. Learned counsel further submits that a perusal of the above said order would show that no reasons have been given as to on what basis the objection filed by petitioner No.3 was rejected by the respondents.

3. Learned counsel, on instructions from petitioners, submits that at this stage, petitioners will be satisfied if liberty is given to the petitioners to file a representation/objection against the tentative gradation list of Inspectors and a direction is given to respondent No.2 to decide the same in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Additional A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.5, 18, 21 and 22 and Mr. Sankalp Dhanda, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.11, 14, 15 and 17 and do not object to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

6. In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to file a representation/objection within a period of 10 days from today, and respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the said representation/objection submitted by the petitioners, after affording an



CWP-11921-2026 :3:

opportunity of hearing to the petitioners as well as the concerned parties/respondents and to pass a speaking order within a period of 03 weeks thereafter.

- 7. Till the decision of the representation, no promotions shall be made to the posts of DSP.
- 8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand(s) disposed of accordingly.

(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)
JUDGE

24.04.2026
Rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No