

2026 PHHC:058796



190

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-11833-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 20.04.2026**

Bohti Devi

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Divyam Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSH BUNGER J. (ORAL)

Petition herein is, *inter alia*, seeking a writ in the nature of Mandamus, for staying the dispossession of the petitioner during pendency of the revision petition (ROR No.164 of 2025-26), filed before the learned Financial Commissioner, Haryana.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has challenged the partition proceedings by filing a revision petition (ROR No.164 of 2025-26) before the learned Financial Commissioner, Haryana, which is now listed for hearing tomorrow, i.e. 21.04.2026. He further submits that, on the other hand, the private respondents have sought execution of the warrants of possession, which constrained the petitioner to

file a stay application; however, the said application has been listed for hearing on 28.04.2026. It is contended that the warrants of possession are scheduled to be executed today, i.e. 20.04.2026, and in these circumstances, the petitioner has filed the instant writ petition before this Court.

3. At this stage, Mr. Abhimanyu Antil, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, appears on behalf of the respondent-State, in pursuance of the advance copy of paper book having already been supplied to him, and submits that the revision petition (ROR No.164 of 2025-26), filed by the petitioner, is pending consideration before the learned Financial Commissioner, Haryana, and is listed for hearing tomorrow, i.e. 21.04.2026. He further submits that the stay application shall be taken up for hearing along with the said revision petition (ROR No.164 of 2025-26) and, in all probabilities, be finally decided, in accordance with law, on the date already fixed, i.e. 21.04.2026, and in any case, not beyond a period of ten days thereafter. Learned State counsel further submits that till a decision is taken on the stay application, the execution of the warrants of possession shall remain deferred.

4. Keeping in view the aforesaid submissions made by learned State counsel, no further orders are required to be passed in the present writ petition and the same is, accordingly, disposed of.

5. All the pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

20.04.2026

Apurva

(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/No