



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

204

CRM-M-22937-2026
Date of Decision: 11.05.2026

SANJEEV KUMAR

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY.

Present: Mr. R.S. Mudra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail for the petitioner in FIR No.60 dated 11.02.2026, under Section 108 of BNS, 2023 (306 of IPC) registered at Police Station Dera Bassi, District S.A.S. Nagar Mohali.

2. On 24.04.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case. There is neither any specific allegation causing harassment levelled in the FIR, nor any instance of an act, immediately preceding the alleged suicide, which would have made his case fall within the definition of abetment as defined under Section 45 BNS, so as to constitute the offence under Section 108 BNS. He is not involved in any other case and is ready and willing to join the investigation as and

when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and Mr. Bhawan Deep Jindal, Advocate appears on behalf of the complainant.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 11.05.2026. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482 (2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 11.05.2026.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case, the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 24.04.2026 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made

absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

MAY 11, 2026.

Rajender

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No