



CRM-M-21593-2026 (O&M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

269

CRM-M-21593-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision: 12.05.2026

ABHINAV DUA AND ANOTHER

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Ms. Muskan Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Ishan Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Akash Yadav, AAG Punjab.

None for respondent No.2.

Shalini Singh Nagpal, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.13 dated 29.03.2022 under Sections 406, 498-A IPC, Police Station Women, Commissionerate Jalandhar, complaint bearing No.COMI-222-2021 dated 13.08.2021 titled "*Tania Dua Vs. Abhinav Dua and Others*", summoning order dated 12.05.2022, which vide order dated 27.01.2023 has been clubbed with case bearing No.CHI-587-2022 titled "*State of Punjab Vs. Abhinav Dua and Others*" and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 07.04.2026.

2. On 21.04.2026, this Court directed the parties to appear before learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording of their statements with regard to compromise dated 07.04.2026.

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, parties appeared before learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar on 28.04.2026. Statement of Investigating Officer ASI Jaspal Singh was also recorded on 28.04.2026.

Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar has submitted her report



CRM-M-21593-2026 (O&M)

recording satisfaction that all the parties have entered into a valid compromise without any influence or coercion. Point-wise report as under has been submitted:

- “(1) As per case file, only one person namely Abhinav Dua arraigned as accused in the present FIR No.95, dated 09.10.2019, registered under Sections 406 and 498-A of IPC, Police Station Women Commissionerate, Jalandhar.*
- Accused/petitioner No.2 Kamini Dua was summoned by the Court in private complaint bearing No. COMI-222-2021 and the said proceedings were later on clubbed with the present FIR/case No. 13 dated 29.03.2022.*
- (2) The above said accused namely Abhinav Dua and Kamini Dua have appeared before the court and made statement.*
- (3) As per the statement of IO, no additional accused has been added and no any other person has been made party to the compromise except the accused as mentioned above as well as the complainant.*
- (4) No accused has been declared proclaimed person in this case.*
- (5) After registration of FIR, no offence was added or deleted during investigation.*
- (6) As per record, no investigation is pending against any of the accused.*
- (7) The name of the complainant/injured/aggrieved is Tania Dua @Tania Duggal.*
- (8) Complainant Tania Dua @ Tania Duggal has appeared and made statement in support of compromise.*
- (9) Investigating Officer ASI Jaspal Singh No. 2971, Posted at P.S. Women Cell, Jalandhar has appeared and his statement has been recorded.*
- (10) The proceedings of present FIR was stayed against accused Abhinav Dua by the Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 01.08.2024 passed in CRM-M-14066-2024 as the accused has filed the quashing petition on merits and the proceedings qua accused Kamini Dua was also stayed as she has challenged the summoning order dated 12.05.2022 in CRM-M-47358-2022.*
- The present case was at the stage of awaiting orders from the Hon'ble High Court.*



CRM-M-21593-2026 (O&M)

(11) *As per statements of parties, compromise is genuine, voluntary and out of free will of both the parties.*

(12) *No other criminal case or proceedings is pending against the accused.”*

4. Learned State counsel has not raised any dispute regarding the factum of compromise. Since the matter has been amicably resolved, continuation of the criminal proceedings would be an exercise in futility. The dispute which has its genesis in a matrimonial discord, warrants exercise of powers under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (B.N.S.S.), 2023.

5. Following principles of law laid down by the Full Bench Judgment of this Court in ***“Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another” 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*** and Hon’ble Supreme Court in ***“Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others” (2012) 10 SCC 303***, the petition is allowed.

6. FIR No.13 dated 29.03.2022 under Sections 406, 498-A IPC, Police Station Women, Commissionerate Jalandhar, complaint bearing No.COMI-222-2021 dated 13.08.2021 titled ***“Tania Dua Vs. Abhinav Dua and Others”***, summoning order dated 12.05.2022, which vide order dated 27.01.2023 has been clubbed with case bearing No.CHI-587-2022 titled ***“State of Punjab Vs. Abhinav Dua and Others”*** and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed qua the petitioners on the basis of compromise dated 07.04.2026.

7. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

12.05.2026
HS.CHAUHAN

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No