



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

131

CWP-11819-2026

Date of Decision: 21.04.2026

Harish Kumar Verma

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Vikas Kuthiala, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. A.S.Khara, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent No.1.

Ms. Priyanka Malik, Advocate and
Ms. Mehak Kanwar, Advocate
for respondent No.2 – GLADA.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Primary grievance of the petitioner is that he has given application, Annexure P-6 to the Greater Ludhiana Area Development Authority (GLADA), for correction of entries in jamabandi. He states that in pursuance to impugned communication dated 07.01.2026, Annexure P-13, petitioner appeared before the Estate Officer, GLADA on 12.01.2026 and supplied requisite documents, but respondents have not taken the proceedings to a logical end.

2. Advance copy of the petition has been served upon the respondents.

**CWP-11819-2026**

-2-

3. Mr. A.S.Khara, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, puts in appearance on behalf of respondent No.1, whereas Ms. Priyanka Malik, Advocate along with Ms. Mehak Kanwar, Advocate have appeared on behalf of respondent No.2 – GLADA.

4. Counsel for respondent No.2 – GLADA have categorical instructions to state that petitioner never appeared before the concerned authority on the date fixed.

5. Be that as it may, let petitioner be appear before the Estate Officer, GLADA on 05.05.2026 at 10:00 a.m. along with all the requisite documents in support of his claim. In case, he does so, competent authority shall conclude the proceedings as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of three months thereafter.

6. Writ petition is disposed of.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

April 21, 2026
Varinder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No