



121 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-11904-2026

Date of decision: 21.04.2026

Kartar Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Ravinder Malik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Advocate
for respondents No.3 & 4.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present civil writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioners on their respective posts in terms of their long, continuous and uninterrupted service of more than 25 years against sanctioned and available posts in accordance with applicable policies and settled principles of law. Further, for directing the respondents to consider and take final, reasoned and speaking decision on the claim of the petitioners for regularization in view of their long and continuous service, availability of sanctioned posts (Annexure P-15), administrative directions (Annexures P-17 to P-19) and representations submitted by the petitioners (Annexure P-20) within a time bound period as well as to decide legal notice dated 01.03.2026 (Annexure P-22) issued by the petitioners and to grant all consequential benefits to the petitioners along with interest @ 18% per annum and also to extend the same benefit of regularization



CWP-11904-2026

-2-

to the petitioners as has been granted to similarly situated employees (Annexure P-21).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present petition be treated as a comprehensive representation and the same be decided in a time bound manner by passing a speaking order after affording the petitioners an opportunity of being heard.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Advocate puts in appearance and accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.3 & 4 and submits that the grievance raised by the petitioners in the present writ petition would be considered by passing a speaking order in accordance with the law by respondent No.4

5. Therefore, in view of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties, the present writ petition is disposed of and respondent No.4 is directed to treat this writ petition as a comprehensive representation and consider the claim of the petitioners and pass a speaking order after affording them an opportunity to be heard, within a period of 03 months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereof shall be conveyed to the petitioners. Needless to say, if the petitioners are found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by respondent No.4.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

21.04.2026

Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No