



**241 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21528-2026

Date of decision :24.04.2026

Rajwant Singh @ Raja

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Harmanpreet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuljinder Dhindsa, AAG, Punjab.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner has approached this Court by way of filing the present third petition praying for grant of regular bail to him in case FIR No.315 dated 27.11.2025, under Sections 21, 27(a), 29 of the NDPS Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar Rural.
2. Succinctly, the facts of the case are that the police party, while on patrolling on 27.11.2025, saw a young boy coming on foot from the right side of the road. On seeing the police, he got perplexed and tried to throw one blue colour polythene after taking it out from the right pocket of his trouser. However, on suspicion, he was apprehended. On asking, he disclosed his name to be Shamsher Singh @ Shera. He was suspected to be carrying some contraband in the polythene bag, which he was tried to throw and thus, search of the same was conducted. On conducting the search of polythene bag, 50 grams of heroin along with Rs.600/- as drug money was recovered. He failed to produce any licence regarding the conscious possession of the same, thus, the FIR was registered and he was arrested on the spot. On registration of the FIR, the



investigation commenced. The samples taken were sent to the FSL. The petitioner approached the Court of learned Judge, Special Court, Amritsar praying for the grant of bail. However, after hearing both the sides and finding no merit in the same, the learned Judge, Special Court, Amritsar, declined the bail application filed by the petitioner vide order dated 30.03.2026. Hence being aggrieved, the petitioner is before this Court by way of filing the present petition praying for the grant of regular bail.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, prays for the grant of bail on the basis of parity with that of co-accused, namely, Palwinder Singh @ Pundu @ Pindu. He has drawn the attention of this Court to the order dated 09.04.2026 passed by this Court in CRM-M-17764-2026 whereby co-accused of the petitioner, namely, Palwinder Singh @ Pundu @ Pindu has been granted the concession of regular bail. He has submitted that case of the petitioner is at par with the co-accused, who has been granted bail by this Court. He has submitted even another co-accused, namely, Shamsher Singh @ Shera, from whom recovery of 50 grams of heroin has been effected, has also been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 29.01.2026 passed in CRM-M-3848-2026. He has further submitted that on the basis of the parity, the petitioner deserves to be granted bail.

4. Per contra, learned State counsel has opposed the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner. However, he has endorsed the fact that case of the petitioner is at par with co-accused, namely, Palwinder Singh @ Pundu @ Pindu, who has already been granted bail by this Court. He has produced custody certificate of petitioner, today in the Court, which is taken on record.

5. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that the petitioner is behind bars since the date of his

arrest. The custody certificate produced would show that petitioner has suffered incarceration of 02 months and 05 days as on 23.04.2026. It further reflects that though the petitioner is involved in two more cases, however, he is on bail in the same. Admittedly, co-accused of the petitioner, namely, Palwinder Singh @ Pundu @ Pindu has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 09.04.2026 passed in CRM-M-17764-2026.

6. The veracity of the allegations would be assessed only after conclusion of the trial and on the appreciation of evidence to be led by both the parties before the trial Court. The trial of the case will take sufficiently long time. Keeping in view the arguments raised by both the sides and perusing the record, this Court is of the opinion that learned counsel for the petitioner succeeds in making out a case for grant of regular bail on the basis of parity with co-accused, Palwinder Singh @ Pundu @ Pindu who has already been granted regular bail by this Court vide order 09.04.2026 passed in CRM-M-17764-2026. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

24.04.2026
m.sharma

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No