



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

107

CWP-12994-2020
Date of Decision : February 20, 2026

AMRIK SINGH AND ORS. -PETITIONERS
V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS. -RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: None for the petitioners.
Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, A.A.G., Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. No one has caused appearance on behalf of the petitioners for the past couple of dates of hearing. The following order was passed on the previous date of hearing, i.e. 11.02.2026.

- “1. Learned State counsel submits that all the petitioners have been promoted, and petitioners No.1 and 2 have since retired upon attaining the age of superannuation.
- 2. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. In the interest of justice, adjourned to 20.02.2026.
- 3. To be shown in the urgent list.
- 4. It is made clear that, on the subsequent date of hearing, no request for adjournment would be entertained on behalf of either side, except for strong and compelling reasons”.

2. In these circumstances, this Court is compelled to draw an inference that either the grievance of the petitioners already stands redressed or they are no longer interested in pursuing the writ petition.

3. Accordingly, the instant writ petition is **dismissed for want of prosecution.**

February 20, 2026
devinder

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No