



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

122

CWP-11730-2026

Date of decision : 20.04.2026

Navneet

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR**

Present: Mr. H.C. Arora, Advocate with  
Mr. Gagandeep Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

**NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)**

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus, directing the respondents to post the petitioner back on the post of Math Master in Government High School Gehle (Mansa) or any other nearby school, if necessary, by relaxing the provisions of Rule 7.5 of the Punjab Civil Service Rules, Volume-I, Part-I by exercising powers envisaged under Note 1.8 contained in Chapter-1 of the said Rules (Annexure P-17), for removing hardship caused to a class of employees.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for redressal of her grievances, the petitioner has also served legal notice dated 03.12.2025 (Annexure P-16) and supplementary legal notice dated 24.12.2025 (Annexure P-18) to the respondents which is still pending consideration. He further submits that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied, if appropriate directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide the said legal notices, by passing a speaking order, in a time bound manner.



CWP-11730-2026

-2-

3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Swapan Shorey, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State. He has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.
6. Without going into the merits of the case at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider and decide legal notice dated 03.12.2025 (Annexure P-16) and supplementary legal notice dated 24.12.2025 (Annexure P-18) submitted by the petitioner expeditiously, by passing a speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereon shall be conveyed to the petitioner.

20.04.2026  
kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)  
JUDGE

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable:        | Yes/No |