



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

106

CRM-M-21025-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision : 12.05.2026

Manjot Kaur alias Sonu

..... Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Punjab

..... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

Present: Mr. Kartik Gandhi, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Rohit Bansal, Sr. DAG Punjab.

Mr. Roopak Bansal, Advocate and
Mr. N.S. Dadwal, Advocate for the complainant.

SURYA PARTAP SINGH, J. (Oral)

This petition for pre-arrest bail is the first petition filed by the petitioner under Section 482 of 'the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023'. It has been filed with regard to a case arising out of FIR No.36 dated 14.02.2026, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 331, 115(2), 351(2), 324, 190 and 117(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, Police Station Sidhwan Bet, District Ludhiana.

2. Vide order dated 18.04.2026, the petitioner was admitted to interim anticipatory bail, subject to the condition of furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of arresting officer. It was also directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation.



3. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that in compliance with order dated 18.04.2026, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

4. The learned State Counsel on instructions of ASI Rajinder Singh has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation, and that for further investigation of this case his custodial interrogation is not required.

5. Keeping in view the aforesaid submissions and the fact that the petitioner has already joined the investigation, it is hereby ordered that the petitioner is entitled for anticipatory bail. Hence, the present petition is hereby *allowed* and the order dated 18.04.2026, whereby the petitioner was accorded the benefit of interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute.

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

12.05.2026

Vinod

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No